

# Fake News Challenge: Stance Detection with Attention and Conditional Encoding

### Stephen Pfohl (spfohl@stanford.edu), Oskar Triebe (oskar2@stanford.edu), Ferdinand Legros (flegros@stanford.edu)

### ABSTRACT

The in-progress Fake News Challenge is a public challenge tasking competitors to develop a stance detection tool that could ultimately be incorporated into a larger automatic factchecking pipeline.

The challenge pairs 1,648 unique headlines and 1,669 unique article bodies to produce 49,972 body-headline pairs. Each of the body-headline pairs are labeled with either "Unrelated", "Discusses", "Agrees", or "Disagrees". It is the goal of the stance detection task to predict these labels.

We applied the concepts of neural attention and conditional encoding to long short-term memory networks (LSTM). Our best model achieves a score of 0.808 improving over the current best competition score of 0.795.





### MODELS





**Basic LSTM** 

Body Text



**LSTM** with Attention



### DATA AND SCORING





### RESULTS

Epoch

### **Hyperparameter Selection**



Model BOW Basic LSTM Attention LSTM CEA LSTM Fitted on train and dev set (80%) and evaluated on test set (20%)



### ATTENTION

Let all vectors be column vectors.

- Define the attention window the be the first *L* output states produced by the LSTM.
- Let *k* be the dimension of the hidden state, and *N* be the total sequence length
- Let  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times L} = [h_1, ..., h_N]$  be a matrix of the output states of the LSTM in the attention window.
- Let  $e_L \in \mathbb{R}^L$  be a vector of 1s.
- Let  $W^y, W^h, W^p, W^h \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  and  $w \in \mathbb{R}^k$  be trainable matrices. • A final state *h*<sup>\*</sup> is produced as follows

 $M = \tanh(W^{y}Y + W^{h}h_{N}e_{L}^{T})$  $\alpha = \operatorname{softmax}(w^T M)$  $r = Y \alpha^T$  $h^* = \tanh(W^p r + W^x h_N)$ 

 Dean Pomerlau and Delip Rao. Post-facto Fake News Challenge •Sepp Hochreiter and Jrgen Schmidhuber. Long Short-term Memory. Neural Comput., 9(9):1735–1780, 11 1997. •Tim Rocktaschel, Edward Grefenstette, Karl Moritz Hermann, Tom Kocisky, and Phil Blunsom. Reasoning about Entailment with Neural Attention. 9 2015.





Basic LSTM — Attention LSTM — CEA I STI itted on train and dev set (80%) and evaluated on test set (20%

Fitted on train and dev set (80%) and evaluated on test set (20%)

## CONCLUSION

• Attention and conditional encoding allows for the model to utilize information from longer sequences without sacrificing performance • Approach may extended to bidirectional models and more complex attention mechanisms Downsampling and a custom loss function may improve performance

## REFERENCES